

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,701	12/02/2003	Zhong Xu	A030 P00747-US2	3415
3017 7590 09/29/2005			EXAMINER	
BARLOW, JO	OSEPHS & HOLME	SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R		
5TH FLOOR	KLL1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903			1774	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

€.	١	J
γ	1	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/725,701	XU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	<u>ıly 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the other contents. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/20/05. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/725,701

Art Unit: 1774

1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lingier et al. (US2004/0005417) in view of Fukuda et al. (6,677,389) for reasons of record and for reasons given below.

Page 2

2. Applicant's arguments filed July 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to applicants' arguments, the secondary reference teaches silica that is treated with cationic groups, i.e. the dispersion methods result in a reaction between the particles and the cationic groups (see col. 1, lines 38-41). The reference teaches producing cationized silica by a dispersion method in which a polar solvent, silica and cationic resin are finely dispersed and combined using a high pressure homogenizer under high pressure (see col. 4, lines 31-55). For their cationic silica, applicants appear to rely on commercially available products in which silica has already been treated with a cationic agent. They do not teach how to make the silica or how their suppliers make the silica so there is no basis for the examiner to compare the method used to make the silica of the reference with the method used to make applicants' silica.

Since the reference discloses that the silica therein has been cationized, the silica has reacted with the resins present. Cationized silica as known in the art is not just a mixture of silica and cationic resin. A reaction of silica with the cationic materials is required for silica to be considered cationized. The examiner believes that the cationic groups would inherently be pendant groups in accordance with instant claim 1. The examiner is not in a position to test the method of the prior art to verify that this is what is formed by the process disclosed therein. However, it is a reasonable

interpretation of the reference, to one of ordinary skill in this art, that the silica and the cationic resins combine chemically to form the charge on the surface of the silica particles. Since the examiner is unable to test the materials of the reference, in order to overcome the rejection, the burden is shifted to applicants to demonstrate that silica with pendant groups is not formed by the prior art method.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela Schwartz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1528.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,701

Art Unit: 1774

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRSchwartz September 26, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINE?